Xournals

Academic Journal of Medical and Health Care Sciences

ISSN UA | Volume 01 | Issue 01 | January-2019

The Risk Factors and Prevention of Osteoporosis

Astha Vyas[1] and Ganga Sharma[1]

Available online at: www.xournals.com

Received 8th September 2018 | Revised 11th October 2018 | Accepted 14th December 2018

Abstract:

Osteoporosis is a very common or silent disease and disorder without any evidence of diseases till a fracture happens. In the world, around 200 million individuals are affected because of osteoporosis and 8.9 million fractures happen in individuals. Hip fractures are foremost health problem by means of both health disorder and social cost of the ageing people for the reason that these related fractures are one of the chief reasons of morbidity, damage, decrease quality of life and death in women as well as men. The main objective of the paper is to examine the risk issues connected to the huge effect of fractures related with osteoporotic and inhibiting of disease. Osteoporosis's increasing trend is attended by an underutilization of present defensive approaches and at high fracture risk, only small number of patients are known and consecutively mentioned to as therapy. In indication and management of osteoporosis, it delivers diagnostic evidence to evaluate the best practices for adoption of an accurate healthcare approach to considerably decrease osteoporosis load. Main focus on attention towards identification of high fracture risk among osteoporosis patients.

Keywords: *Osteoporosis, Fracture Prevention, Morbidity*

Authors:

1. *Ruxmaniben Deepchand Gardi College of Nursing, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA*

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a silent skeletal and disease disorder characterized by small density of bone and micro-architectural weakening of skeletal tissue. It increases in fracture jeopardy factor which is major health problem and breaks that happen consisting nominal trauma and in certain cases, without any trauma. With the case of osteoporosis hip, vertebral, and wrist fractures are best commonly associated? This fracture contains annual cost in Wales and England is £1.7 billion in which 90% of the price was occurred by fracture of hip. In the United States, the total cost of osteoporosis is estimated to be over $14 billion per year. This pathology of bone can be categorized into two of the forms: primary forms and secondary forms. The primary osteoporosis is categorized by a liberal mineral bone that is absent as a meaning of aging of people as well as influenced by the variations in hormones related to sex. Instead, different pathologies as well as the use of specific medications that affect skeleton health which can induce secondary osteoporosis. The primary form of osteoporosis consists of postmenopausal or senile disease (type I or type II). Most of the time aged ranges from 50 to 65 years, type I osteoporosis occurred in a subgroup of postmenopausal female because of the productivity of consequent trabecular and estrogen bone resorption. Mainly involve the spine and wrist in this set of female pattern of fracture. The postmenopausal bone loss itself which is no left any evidence and causes any symptoms and therefore, the liberal bone damage has been considered as ‘silent thief’ or ‘the silent epidemic’. The characteristic fracture of Type II osteoporosis consists of fractures of pelvis bone, hip, tibia, and proximal humerus.

This type of risk factors, mainly reflected as illness of women, a proliferation in fractures which is age-related and has been detected similarly in male. Perhaps, the unidentified number of males having osteoporosis as the irregularity of controversies and screening in bone mineral density i.e. BMD which is testing standards in male. In a lifetime, around 50% of women and 25% of men consisting osteoporotic fracture. Hence, with the increased occurrence of disease, fracture-related costs, and mortality growing impact in socio-economic related to osteoporosis. The amount of fractures of osteoporosis is rising in numerous years in universal area as a significance of enlarged strength of the inhabitants. By 1990, a total of hip fracture has been growing by 1.7 million and in the year 2050 around hip fractures will exceed up to 21 million. The major focused must be the identification of threat of high fracture in patients which is required to be deterrence methods as well as therapeutic methods to compare widening of fracture through the entire populations. The techniques can be important to estimate the threat of fracture. It drops basically into two of the major categories: Physical measurement of skeletal mass and Assessment of clinical risk factors (CRFs) of Osteoporosis. The valuation of osteoporosis is dependent on the density of bone estimation and there are no sustaining clinical methods, self-regulating of BMD, for the purpose of estimation of bone quality.

Risk Factors for Osteoporotic Fracture

The numerous threat features which affect osteoporotic fractures, which is as follows:

Mineral density of Bone

It has been represented as lesser the mineral density of bone and advanced the fracture threat in which mass of bone can be dignified at number of sites consisting forearm, lumbar spine, hip, and other sites. In mineral density of bone, utilizes technique is double energy i.e. x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) that is functional on spine and hip parts of body.

Body weight

This type of studies is shown that there is an adverse association amongst peak bone mass and low body mass index. In which small index mass of body and loss of weight are intensely connected to enlarged risk of fracture.

Smoking Cigarette

It has the converse association between cigarette smoking and mineral density of bone in which numerous factors comprising a previous menopause, decrease in weight of body and enlarged metabolic analysis of exogenous estrogen in female. There was no important alteration in density of bone between non-smokers and smokers at around age of 50, the density of bone in female reduced by 2% extra in smokers as compare with non-smokers for each 10-year growth in age, including change among the two of 6% at age 80 years in the meta-analysis. The study related with epidemiology recommended that a self-governing effect of smoking of cigarette causes threat of hip fracture.

Alcohol consumption

The detrimental of bone was occurred by the ingestion of huge quantities of alcohol due to which severe effects on calcium and protein absorption, gonadal function, mobility, toxic effect on osteoblasts etc. As per as moderate quantities of alcohol is concern, it is found to be protecting beside loss of bone at the portion of hip as well as against the danger of vertebral fracture.

Nutrition

In premenopausal women, shown linkage between bone mass and calcium intake in the aspect of meta-analysis. In different case, the connection between fracture rate and calcium intake is not sure. The encouraging relationship happened in elderly women and middle aged that have been described between bone mineral density and 25- hydroxyvitamin D concentration whereas opposite association between mineral density of bone and serum parathyroid hormone. In chief, sufficient vitamin D stages may also recover strength of muscle and decrease both threat and significances of falling.

Physical inactivity

BMD was increased by mechanical stress and physical loading that sure methods of exercise may hinder loss of bone. The association occurs among the threat of hip and vertebral fracture physical inactivity in elderly which is revealed in the study of epidemiological. Because of the increased threat of falling, this consequence might be revealed.

Deficiency of Sex hormone

In both genders, primary hypogonadism linked with small bone density. The top of mass of bone mass decreased and the threat of osteoporosis is growing in female having secondary amenorrhea and by late menarche, the peak of mass of mass is also decreased. Earlier the age of 45, the premature menopause is a sturdy component of loss of bone and enlarged threat of fracture among females.

Other reasons

The other reasons of osteoporosis are:

- Malignant disease—like, lymphoma and myeloma
- Drugs—like, heparin and corticosteroids
- Endocrine disorders—for example, hypogonadism, Cushing's syndrome, hyperparathyroidism.
- Miscellaneous disorders—like, chronic renal failure and connective tissue diseases.

Genetic factors

The 505 of the alteration in top of mass of bone which is genetically determined, these studies were occur in twin case. The hereditability is supposed to be polygenic. Considering this, the genetic properties seem to be tougher as compare in the lumbar spine as compare with the distal forearm or femoral neck.

Prevention

The osteoporosis was earlier reflected a normal fragment of aging, but it is now clear to be treatable and preventable. In general population, many interventions reduce fracture risk and can be utilize for both primary and prevention. These approaches comprises sufficient joint vitamin D and calcium intake, weight-bearing exercise, antiresorptive therapy, modest alcohol consumption, prevention of trip or fall threats and tobacco avoidance.

Supplementation of Vitamin D and Calcium

For bone formation, vitamin D and calcium consumption offer adequate levels and maintenance of the density of bone which decrease threat of hip fracture in case of osteoporotic patients and osteopenic and reductions of incidence of decreases in at-danger older adults. For primary and secondary prevention, supplementation has been long considered important but concern about possible threats of unclear balance and supplementation of welfares and problems has led to a fresh change in strategies. In premenopausal men and women, vitamin D and calcium are damaging for any day-to-day supplementation and are not able to make commendations on advanced doses of vitamin D and calcium that is mentioning an absence of evidence. In case of calcium intake above 1200 to 1500 mg/day, has restricted advantage and growing the chances of threat of cardiovascular nephrolithiasis and disease.

Exercise which is Weight-bearing

In numerous studies, demonstrated the profits of health regarding exercise comprising threat and fracture of falls. For the purpose of prevention of

osteoporosis, muscle-strengthening and weight-bearing exercise are recommended since it advances agility, posture, and strength and balance to avoid drops. The increase in exercise and their risk breakage and drops for some physicians and patients recommended for degree and kind of activity dependent on individual risk. Some researchers suggest that exercise could avoid loss of bone and breakages in postmenopausal female and this exercise outcome was drop on mineral density of bone that was observed.

Additional interventions

Bones health are harmful by intake of tobacco and excessive alcohol and total of daily alcohol consumption that is damaging are uncertain. According to Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI), greater than two unit per day for female and greater than 2 units per day for men is injurious but allowing to Fracture Risk Assessment Tool, includes superior than 3 units each day as a threat feature. When consumption of moderate number of alcohol, seemed to be connected with somewhat complex bone mineral density and lesser fracture threat in postmenopausal female. The researcher is no suggest that no more than 1 unit of alcohol daily for women and no more than 2 units daily for male. It falls prevention that supports avoid osteoporosis-related morbidity. Involvements comprise removing trip or fall threats, visualization and hearing correction, estimating suspected neurologic difficulties, eluding medications that reason imbalance, and directing hip pad protectors for those with important risk.

FRAX score and risk-assessment tools

By World Health Organization (WHO), FRAX is considered as electronic fracture-risk algorithm development that support global models of inhabitants-dependent associates collectively with medical threat aspects. These related tools are utilizes in patients constituting low hip bone mineral density. For the purpose of estimating hip fracture threat and major osteoporotic that is united with that of femoral neck bone mineral density contained by around 10 years and are used deprived of a DXA. In case of initiation of treatment, when standards can be used to choose; Food and Drug Administration accepted treatment can be started for patients having osteopenia and a 10-year threat of hip breakage of at least 3% or a threat of a foremost osteoporotic breakage 20% or more.

By combining clinical risk factors and BMD, increasing understanding and preserves specificity. It also comprises of demerits that comprise an incapability to include all identified medical threat factors which are significant in in view of treatment and it also not include spine bone mineral density.

Other osteoporosis risk-assessment tools include the tool named as Osteoporosis Self-Assessment Screening Tool, Women's Health Initiative hip fracture risk calculator, Simple Calculated Osteoporosis Risk Estimation Score, Male Osteoporosis Risk Estimation Score Osteoporosis Risk-Assessment Instrument, Osteoporosis Society of Canada and Canadian Association of Radiologists Working Group tool and Osteoporosis Index of Risk. These tools are useful when bone mineral density testing is unobtainable.

Review of Literature

Gronholz 2008, stated that osteopathic medicine is exclusively suitable to clinical and diagnostic managing of osteoporosis that have a comprehensive treatment strategies and holistic approach. Unidentified or ineffectively managed bone mineral density damage that can deliver to vertebral and non-vertebral breakages, both breakages are connected with morbidity substantial and mortality among patients. To avoid breakage and disability conclusion from the point of view of osteoporosis, at-threat individuals—containing men—essentially be recognized normally. Later, they should be recommended management that not only conserves strength of bone but decreases the stress related to biomechanical that may offer to breakage. The osteopathic physicians should grow a controlling program which is multifactorial with osteoporosis that comprises danger factor modification, nutrition direction and OMT, physical exercise, pharmacological treatment and dietary supplementation.

Cosman et.al 2014, dictated that this paper focused on prevention, diagnosis, and behavior of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and women age 50 and elder that are using most mutual present treatment and diagnostic methods. Many extra subjects directly need medical, economic research and epidemiological.

Schürer et.al 2015, stated that in North East Germany, examined firstly as wide-ranging data on bone health. This result finds out the result that

indicates a high prevalence of osteoporosis in 65 year age or above and also finds out that adaptable threat factors for osteoporosis are communal, mainly in young female and male and earlier breakages are connected with an enlarged threat for upcoming fractures related to osteoporotic.

Thulkar and Singh 2015, in menopausal women, osteoporosis is becoming a chief problem related with health in India where still research is far behind in India. Predispose to osteoporosis are referred to as genetic conditions. In northwest India, case of postmenopausal women, Vitamin D receptor (VDR) danger of osteoporosis and gene polymorphism were establish. From India, association of apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotypes with BMD and risk of osteoporosis have also been reported. This study was only focused on postmenopausal women in which no India study was include that will add more knowledge about genetic predisposition to osteoporosis. Estrogen receptor alpha (ESR1) gene polymorphisms were discussed in India that is a most important factor, it is an essential hormone for absorption of calcium and bone metabolism.

Alkhaldi and Porter 2016, stated that in post-menopausal women, evaluate the efficiency of diversified kinds of exercise on bone mineral density comprising, weight-bearing exercise and non-weight bearing exercise when a grouping of both non-weight and weight bearing including vibration. In the case of post-menopausal in female, good things on mineral density of bone was performed by the exercise of weight-bearing with longer interference of time and may be vitamin D or calcium additions but non-bearing weight exercise studies utilizes preservative medication and increments like vitamin D, HRT, calcium but conclusively did not present in the approval of this work.

Hazrati 2017, dictated that this paper was focused on osteoporosis and a broad range of medical subspecialties and on simple and medical science related to osteoporosis which is chiefly control the works in reference to complete mention numbers.

Kalkım and Daghan 2017, concluded that growth of knowledge among regarding osteoporosis, their health theories, frequency of osteoporosis and their self-efficacy avoiding behavior. The nurses, presented the effects of model named health belief model i.e. HBM dependent upon osteoporosis avoiding education and directed the different program related to counseling. This education and counselling program avoiding osteoporosis dependent on theoretical methods; the program should be estimated on a discrete basis with program's effectiveness and home visits, and must be estimated by long-term observing of usefulness as well as dimension of mineral density of bone.

Conclusion

Osteoporosis plays an important role in developing diseases or disorder which increase the threat of fracture in vertebral, hip and wrist bone. It is affected estimated 10 million people which increases the risk due to which peoples were dead. This risk was developed by the efficiency of calcium, vitamin D, body weight, alcohol, cigarette smoke etc. These fractures are preventable by the use of sufficient level of calcium, even weight-bearing exercise, vitamin D, fall avoidance and anticipation of excessive alcohol and tobacco. It is important to follow the well-known support of cheap, non-invasive screening and quick, methods to upsurge national awareness campaign encouraging a healthy lifestyle.

References:

Christodoulou,, C., and C. Cooper. "What Is Osteoporosis?" *Postgrad Med Journal*, vol. 79, no. 929, 1 Mar. 2003, pp. 133–138.

Alkhaldi, Mishaal M, and Stuart L Porter. "Exercise Intervention for Osteoporosis Prevention in Postmenopausal Women: A Systematic Review." *Journal of Novel Physiotherapies*, vol. 07, no. 01, 26 Dec. 2016.

F. Cosman, et al. "Clinician's Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis." *Osteoporos Int*, 15 Aug. 2014, pp. 2359–2381.

Gass, Margery, and Bess Dawson-Hughes. "Preventing Osteoporosis-Related Fractures: An Overview." *The American Journal of Medicine*, vol. 119, no. 4, 2006.

Gronholz,, M. Jill. "Prevention, Diagnosis, and Management of Osteoporosis-Related Fracture: A Multifactoral Osteopathic Approach." *Journal of the American Osteopathic Association (JAOA)*, vol. 108, no. 10, Oct. 2018, pp. 575–585.

Hazrati, Abdullah. "Osteoporosis and Metabolic Bone Disorders." *Academia Journal of Scientific Research*, vol. 5, no. 8, Aug. 2017, pp. 237–238.

Kalkım, Aslı, and Şafak Dağhan. "Theory-Based Osteoporosis Prevention Education and Counseling Program for Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial." *Asian Nursing Research*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2017, pp. 119–127.

Kling, Juliana M., et al. "Osteoporosis Prevention, Screening, and Treatment: A Review." *Journal of Women's Health,* vol. 23, no. 7, 2014, pp. 563–572.

Pisani, Paola, et al. "Major Osteoporotic Fragility Fractures: Risk Factor Updates and Societal Impact." *World Journal of Orthopedics*, vol. 7, no. 3, 2016, p. 171.

Schürer, Christian, et al. "Fracture Risk and Risk Factors for Osteoporosis." *Deutsches Aerzteblatt Online*, 2015.

Thulkar, Jyoti, and Shalini Singh. "Overview of Research Studies on Osteoporosis in Menopausal Women since the Last Decade." *Journal of Mid-Life Health*, vol. 6, no. 3, 2015, p. 104.